

# University of Science & Technology, Bannu



## Project Proposal

Title: Quantum-Safe Blockchain: A Post-Quantum  
Cryptographic Solution

### Students Particulars

Name: Muhammad Ashan

Reg\_no: \_\_\_\_\_

### Supervisor

Name: Dr. Muhammad Javed

Department of Computer Science  
UST Bannu

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Department of Computer Science UST Bannu

# Quantum-Safe Blockchain: A Post-Quantum Cryptographic Solution

## Introduction

The rapid evolution of quantum computing has brought groundbreaking advancements to computational science. However, it also poses a significant threat to the cryptographic foundations of modern digital systems. At the core of this threat are quantum algorithms, such as Shor's algorithm and Grover's algorithm, which can efficiently break widely used cryptographic techniques. For instance, Shor's algorithm enables the factorization of large integers, compromising RSA and ECDSA, while Grover's algorithm significantly reduces the search time for symmetric keys, weakening algorithms like AES and SHA-256.

Blockchain technology, known for its decentralized and immutable nature, relies heavily on these classical cryptographic techniques to ensure secure transactions, data integrity, and system trust. The potential arrival of quantum computers capable of executing these algorithms undermines the very foundation of blockchain security. Without timely intervention, quantum computing could render blockchain-based systems vulnerable to unauthorized access, data manipulation, and loss of trust.

This project addresses the emerging challenge of quantum threats to blockchain technology by developing a quantum computer-secure blockchain. It focuses on integrating post-quantum cryptographic algorithms to safeguard transaction validation and block signing against quantum attacks. Techniques such as SPHINCS+, a hash-based signature algorithm, and CRYSTALS-Dilithium, a lattice-based digital signature scheme, are evaluated and implemented. The project aims to build a prototype blockchain system resistant to quantum attacks, addressing security, computational efficiency, and scalability challenges. By exploring the integration of quantum-resistant algorithms, the project contributes to ensuring the long-term viability of blockchain systems in the post-quantum era.

## Literature Review

### 1. Quantum Computing and Its Threats to Cryptography

Quantum computing is a transformative technology that leverages the principles of superposition and entanglement to solve complex problems much faster than classical computers. Researchers such as Peter Shor have demonstrated that quantum algorithms can efficiently break public-key cryptosystems, which are foundational to securing internet communication, e-commerce, and blockchain technology. For instance, RSA, which relies on the difficulty of prime factorization, can be efficiently solved using Shor's algorithm, compromising digital signatures and key exchanges. Similarly, Grover's algorithm provides a quadratic speedup for brute-force attacks, threatening the integrity of hashing algorithms used in blockchain for proof-of-work and digital fingerprints.

### 2. Blockchain and Cryptographic Dependencies

Blockchain is a distributed ledger technology that ensures transparency, immutability, and security through cryptographic mechanisms. The reliance on public-key cryptography (e.g., ECDSA) for transaction signing and private-key mechanisms for secure consensus make blockchain particularly vulnerable to quantum attacks. Nakamoto's original Bitcoin whitepaper (2008) highlighted the importance of secure cryptographic primitives for decentralized systems, yet these mechanisms are inherently based on pre-quantum security assumptions. Research has shown that the failure of these primitives due to quantum computing could lead to vulnerabilities such as unauthorized spending, double-spending, and blockchain forking.

### 3. Post-Quantum Cryptography

Post-quantum cryptography (PQC) represents a class of cryptographic algorithms designed to resist quantum attacks. Unlike classical systems, PQC algorithms are built on hard mathematical problems that are resistant to both quantum and classical computational methods. NIST's Post-Quantum Cryptography Standardization Project has identified promising candidates for quantum-safe cryptography:

- SPHINCS+: A stateless hash-based signature scheme offering long-term security but with larger key sizes.
- CRYSTALS-Dilithium: A lattice-based signature scheme noted for its efficiency and smaller key sizes, making it suitable for real-time blockchain operations.
- Kyber: A lattice-based encryption scheme providing secure key exchanges.

These algorithms are at the forefront of research for protecting blockchain systems against quantum threats.

### 4. Post-Quantum Blockchain Research

Several studies have explored the integration of PQC into blockchain technology:

- Gentry et al. (2020): Introduced lattice-based cryptographic schemes for secure blockchain implementations, demonstrating improved resilience against quantum attacks.
- Wang et al. (2021): Evaluated the trade-offs in performance and security of hash-based signatures like SPHINCS+ in Bitcoin-like systems, finding them computationally viable for secure transaction signing.
- Hyperledger and Ethereum Initiatives: Preliminary testnets incorporating quantum-safe cryptography have shown promising results, though they face challenges related to scalability and implementation complexity.

These studies underline the feasibility of incorporating PQC into blockchain but emphasize the need for optimization to address the computational and storage overhead introduced by post-quantum algorithms.

### 5. Challenges in Post-Quantum Blockchain

Adopting post-quantum cryptography in blockchain systems presents several challenges:

- **Performance Trade-offs:** Algorithms like SPHINCS+ and Dilithium require larger key sizes and higher computational power, impacting transaction throughput and latency.
- **Scalability Issues:** Post-quantum algorithms increase the size of blockchain transactions, raising concerns about storage and network bandwidth requirements.
- **Standardization and Adoption:** While NIST is actively working on PQC standards, widespread adoption requires industry alignment and real-world testing.

Research by Bai et al. (2022) highlights the need for balancing security and performance, making optimization a critical area of focus. Future blockchain implementations must address these challenges to remain robust and scalable in a post-quantum world.

## **Objectives:**

The objective of the **Quantum-Safe Blockchain Proposal** is to design and implement a blockchain that remains secure in the era of quantum computing, which poses a threat to traditional cryptographic methods. The main goals include:

1. Offer a comprehensive overview of blockchain technology, including an examination of the cryptographic mechanisms that form its foundation.
2. Investigate the limitations of classical cryptography and the potential risks posed by quantum computing to the security of blockchain systems.
3. Assess the performance impact of quantum-safe algorithms on blockchain scalability, latency, and throughput.
4. Contribute to industry standards for secure blockchain implementations by providing a prototype that demonstrates effective integration of post-quantum cryptographic techniques.
5. Highlight the advantages and benefits of a secure quantum blockchain for future applications.

## **Methodology**

The project adopts a design-oriented research methodology focused on prototyping a quantum-resistant blockchain. It integrates post-quantum digital signature algorithms into a simplified blockchain framework. The steps include:

- **Algorithm Selection:** SPHINCS+ and CRYSTALS-Dilithium were selected due to their recognition by NIST as leading candidates in post-quantum cryptography.
- **Blockchain Framework Setup:** A lightweight blockchain prototype was built using Python and integrated with the chosen post-quantum signature schemes.

- **Security Analysis:** Comparative analysis was conducted against classical cryptographic algorithms to assess post-quantum resistance.
- **Performance Evaluation:** Execution time, key size, signature size, and throughput were measured to evaluate scalability and feasibility.

## System Architecture & Design

The quantum-safe blockchain prototype comprises the following components:

- **Block Structure:** Each block includes a hash of the previous block, timestamp, transaction data, and a quantum-safe digital signature.
- **Consensus Mechanism:** A simplified proof-of-work protocol was used to maintain block integrity while keeping focus on cryptographic performance.

### Signature Integration:

- *SPHINCS+* was used for secure document-style long-term signing.
- *Dilithium* was tested for real-time transaction signing due to its smaller key size and faster verification.

A modular approach was adopted to facilitate the replacement or upgrade of cryptographic modules without impacting core blockchain logic.

## Implementation

The blockchain was implemented in Python using the following key libraries and tools:

- **pyca/cryptography:** For integrating hash-based structures.
- **SPHINCS+ and Dilithium reference implementations:** Adapted from official PQClean and NIST repositories.
- **Flask:** For exposing a simple API to test blockchain transactions.
- **SQLite:** To store metadata for testing the impact of signature sizes on transaction logs.

A minimal blockchain with basic transaction, block creation, and validation features was constructed. Two versions were tested: one using SPHINCS+ and one using Dilithium.

## Evaluation

Performance was evaluated based on:

- **Signature size:** SPHINCS+ ( $\approx 40\text{KB}$ ), Dilithium ( $\approx 2\text{KB}$ ).
- **Key generation time:** SPHINCS+ slower due to complex hashing.
- **Verification speed:** Dilithium performed faster in verification and was more suitable for high-throughput environments.
- **Scalability:** Larger signature sizes impacted block size and throughput, particularly in SPHINCS+.

- **Security:** Both algorithms demonstrated strong resistance to known quantum attacks and offered long-term security assurances.

## Challenges and Limitations

1. **Computation Overhead:** SPHINCS+ introduces significant delay in key generation and signing, making it less suitable for high-frequency transaction environments.
2. **Storage Requirements:** Larger signatures inflate block sizes, impacting scalability.
3. **Tool Support:** Limited native support in existing blockchain frameworks for post-quantum cryptography required custom adaptation.
4. **Standardization Gaps:** Rapid evolution in PQC standards means continuous updates are necessary to maintain compliance.

## Conclusion and Future Work

This project demonstrates the feasibility of a quantum-safe blockchain by integrating SPHINCS+ and CRYSTALS-Dilithium into a working prototype. While both algorithms enhance security against quantum threats, they present trade-offs in performance and scalability. Future research will focus on:

- Optimizing performance using hybrid cryptographic schemes (classical + PQC).
- Exploring post-quantum key exchange mechanisms (e.g., Kyber).
- Adapting the prototype into larger blockchain frameworks like Hyperledger or Ethereum testnets.
- Conducting real-world deployment tests in decentralized finance and supply chain domains.

The project paves the way for resilient blockchain solutions in the quantum era, contributing to the growing field of post-quantum cryptography.

## References

1. **Shor, P. W. (1994).**  
*Algorithms for Quantum Computation: Discrete Logarithms and Factoring.*  
<https://doi.org/10.1109/SFCS.1994.365700>
2. **Grover, L. K. (1996).**  
*A Fast Quantum Mechanical Algorithm for Database Search.*  
<https://doi.org/10.1145/237814.237866>
3. **National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST).**  
*Post-Quantum Cryptography Standardization Project.*  
<https://csre.nist.gov/projects/post-quantum-cryptography>
4. **Hülsing, A., et al. (2020).**  
*SPHINCS+: Submission to the NIST Post-Quantum Cryptography Project.*  
<https://sphincs.org/>

5. **Ducas, L., et al. (2021).**  
*CRYSTALS-Dilithium: Lattice-Based Digital Signatures.*  
<https://pq-crystals.org/dilithium/>
6. **Bai, K., Zhang, Y., & Chen, W. (2022).**  
*Post-Quantum Cryptographic Challenges in Blockchain.*  
<https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2205.12377>
7. **Nakamoto, S. (2008).**  
*Bitcoin: A Peer-to-Peer Electronic Cash System.*  
<https://bitcoin.org/bitcoin.pdf>